

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,584	03/29/2004	Akihiro Iwazaki	042267	9499
38834	7590 07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
1250 CONI SUITE 700	NECTICUT AVENUE, NW	V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC 20036	C 20036 2832		
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office A. C.	10/810,584	IWAZAKI ET AL.	(h)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to 11 the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	•	• •	erits is
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction a	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claim 1, drawn to an electromagnetic coil, classified in class 336, subclass 96.

II. Claims 2-15, drawn to an electromagnetic actuator, classified in class 335,

subclass 251.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as an electromagnetic

actuator not using an electromagnetic coil of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

figures 6-7B; and

Embodiment 2:

figures 8-9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,584

Art Unit: 2832

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TIN

Trujen T. Ngrujen